- 17
- 18
- 19 Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 Could you describe the substance of that call, please.
- 21 Majid called me and told me that I have some parcels at his
- 22 office and he told me to go down to collect them. He said that
- 2.3 he is doing renovations, and if I don't collect them by that
- 24 day, they will dispose it.
- 25 THE COURT: You had some?

1 THE WITNESS: Parcels.

THE COURT: Parcels. Thank you.

- Q. What, if anything, did you know about the parcels?
- A. I know that my brother ordered some parcels from eBay, and it was delivered to his office.

6 THE COURT: Your brother ordered parcels from eBay.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And they were delivered to your husband's office?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- 12 Q. Was there a discussion about where to meet? Did you agree to pick up the parcels?
- 14 A. Because my brother required the items in the parcel for his
- 15 | business, so I agreed to pick it up. Majid wanted me to go up
- 16 to his office, but I told him no, we meet downstairs at the car
- 17 | park.

3

4

5

7

8

9

- 18 \parallel Q. Why didn't you want to go collect the parcels at Mr.
- 19 | Souratgar's office?
- 20 A. Because I wanted to be in a public area because I'm afraid
- 21 \parallel of him, that he might do something.
- 22 | Q. Did there come a time that you arrived at the car park?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. Approximately what time was that, if you recall?
- 25 | A. Probably around 3 o'clock.

- 1 | Q. What, if anything, transpired at that time?
- 2 A. When I arrive, I gave him a call and told him that I'm at
- 3 | the car park, and he said he would come down shortly, after
- 4 which he did. He came down with his brother, and they were
- 5 carrying I think three boxes, big boxes. Then they approached
- 6 my car, and I opened the booth, and then they put one in the
- 7 | booth, and the other two he put it at the back passenger seat.
 - Q. What happened then?
- 9 A. Then Majid walk over to the front passenger seat and he
- 10 went inside my car and he sat down there. I went over --
- 11 | Q. What was your reaction to Mr. Souratgar actually entering
- 12 | your car and sitting down in the front passenger seat?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Again, your Honor, I'll make my
- 14 continuing objection and move to strike at the end of the
- 15 | testimony.

- 16 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
- 17 A. Then I was very shock. I was also very frightened, because
- 18 | I know that Majid is capable of doing harm of things.
- 19 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 | Q. What then happened after that?
- 22 A. Then I went back to the driver's seat. I didn't go in from
- 23 | outside. I asked him, I said, why are you sitting here. I
- 24 | said then, can you please get out from the car. And then he
- 25 | say no. He say, unless you receive these documents, I'm not

- 1 going to get out from the car.
- Q. Did you know what documents he was talking about at that
- 3 | time?
- 4 A. I was unsure what the document was. But later he showed
- 5 | me. He said these are the Malaysian Shariah court documents
- 6 | requiring you to attend court.
- 7 | Q. At that time you didn't know what those documents were?
- 8 A. Yes, at that time I didn't know.
- 9 Q. What happened then?
- 10 A. Then he showed me the front page, and I saw the Shariah
- 11 | court that was in Malay.
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. Then I felt very -- I felt I was almost trick, because he
- 14 | told me that I should go down to collect the parcels and not to
- 15 be served any documents.
- 16 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. Move to
- 17 strike.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 Q. What happened then? Did Mr. Souratgar get out of the front
- 20 passenger seat at that time?
- 21 A. No, he didn't want to get out. He said unless I accept the
- 22 documents, he would not get out from the car.
- 23 | Q. Where was Mr. Souratgar's brother at that time?
- 24 \parallel A. His brother was on the front, at the side, at the door of
- $25 \parallel$ the front passenger seat. He took out a camera and he started

- 1 | filming.
- 2 | Q. What happened then?
- 3 A. Then I tried to take -- because I didn't want to enter the
- 4 | car, so I tried to take my mobile phone and my car keys, which
- 5 Mr. Majid grabbed my cell phone, because I left it at the
- 6 gearbox. And then he learned over to the steering --
- 7 | Q. When you say the gearbox, what do you mean?
- 8 A. Where the handbrake is.
- 9 | Q. Yes, inside the car?
- 10 A. Yes. There was a compartment near the handbrake. I left
- 11 | my mobile phone there. He took it.
- 12 | Q. Where had the keys been?
- 13 A. The key was in the ignition. He actually leaned over and
- 14 \parallel off the engine and he took out my car keys.
- 15 | Q. After Mr. Souratgar had your mobile cell phone and your car
- 16 keys, what happened then?
- 17 | A. I was very frightened at that time, so I tried -- and I
- 18 | just wanted to get away from him. I went to retrieve back my
- 19 | belongings, the car keys and the mobile phone. So I tried to
- 20 | take it back from him, after which we got into a struggle. And
- 21 | then he started pushing me away, and he didn't want to hand
- 22 over my car keys and my mobile phone. So he kept pushing me
- 23 and then he started hitting me. And then I got scared, so I
- 24 | ran out, I came out from the car. Then I saw two men who was
- 25 | standing near the building, so I ran towards them and asked

- 1 | them to help me to call the police.
 - Q. What happened then?
- 3 A. And then, when I approached the two guys, I told them, I
- 4 | say, please help me call the police, this guy is beating me.
- 5 | Then Mr. Souratgar managed to catch up with me. Then he told
- 6 | the two men that this is personal issues and asked them not to
- 7 | interfere.

- 8 | Q. What happened then?
- 9 A. Then Majid forced me the accept the documents.
- 10 | THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said.
- 11 | THE WITNESS: Majid forced me to accept the documents.
- 12 THE COURT: I don't understand what you mean.
- 13 | THE WITNESS: He catch up with me. Then I was
- 14 | standing beside the two men. He came over, and then he push
- 15 | the documents to ask me to take it.
- 16 THE COURT: He pushed the documents to ask you to take
- 17 | it, is that what you said?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Next. Continue.
- 20 | Q. Did you take the documents?
- 21 A. I refused to accept it. Therefore, he threw it. Then he
- 22 | threw it on the floor and he say that is considered accept.
- 23 | Q. What happened after that?
- 24 | A. After that, I told him to give me back my hand phone and my
- 25 | car keys. I said if not, then I would call the police. Then,

- 1 I don't know, he spoke to his brother in Persian, and his
- 2 | brother took the hand phone from Majid and pass it to me. And
- 3 | then they say that -- he pointed at the documents on the floor
- 4 | and say, the documents are here, you better accept it. And
- 5 then he returned me my car keys, and then he left, he went back
- 6 | up to his office.
- 7 | Q. What did you do after that incident?
- 8 | A. I just took my car key and my mobile phone and walked back
- 9 to my car, and then I drove off.
- 10 | Q. Where did you drive off to?
- 11 A. I went to lodge a police report at the police station.
- 12 \parallel Q. You described an encounter, a physical encounter, with Mr.
- 13 | Souratgar while you were in the car.
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection to the form of the question.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 | Q. Did you feel any physical effects from that encounter?
- 18 | A. Yes. My arms were aching, and then I also noticed there
- 19 were bruises on my chest.
 - Q. When you say bruises, what do you mean?
- 21 A. It was yellowish bruise at the top of my chest.
- 22 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Let the record reflect that the
- 23 witness is pointing to the right side of the lower part of her
- 24 | chest.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. When did you observe this yellowish bruise on the lower right side portion of your chest?
- 3 A. After I lodged the police report that day, I went to the
- 4 doctor. When I was waiting for my turn to see the doctor, then
- 5 | I notice.
- 6 Q. It's your testimony that you lodged a police report after
- 7 | this incident?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And it is your testimony that at some point you went to see 10 the doctor?
- 11 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 12 A. After I lodged the police report.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Repeating the questions.
- 14 | THE COURT: Yes. Try to avoid that, please.
- MS. LEIDHOLDT: I will.
- THE COURT: This is not an opportunity to reiterate and summarize the testimony for the Court. Elicit the testimony and it will stand. OK?
- 19 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 | Q. How much time transpired between lodging the report with
- 22 | the police -- withdrawn. What happened when you got to the
- 23 | police station?
- 24 | A. I waited in the queue for my turn. Then I was there
- 25 | probably two hours, after which I went to the doctor, and then

- 1 I have to wait another one and a half, two hours again.
- 2 To the best of your knowledge, was any action taken on the 3 part of the police involving this incident?
 - MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: If you know.

6

7

8

9

11

- The police, again they told me that unless there is a personal protection order, they will not take action, because he is my husband.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection. Move to strike what the 10 police told her.
 - THE COURT: Yes. I don't understand why it would be admissible for anything other than the truth of its content. And if it is for the truth of its content, then it seems to be
- 13 14 hearsay.
- 15 MS. LEIDHOLDT: I understand.
- 16 THE COURT: If it is for some other reason, please 17 tell me what that other reason is.
- 18 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Your Honor, I would like to reframe 19 the question.
- 20 THE COURT: OK. That answer will be stricken. Ask a 21 new question then.
- 22 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes.
- Q. My question is, did the police take any action, if you 23
- 24 know, after you made that report?
- 25 Α. No.

- MR. ARENSTEIN: Is it no, she doesn't know, or that the police didn't take any action?
- 3 THE COURT: You will have an opportunity to conduct
- 4 cross-examination, Mr. Arenstein.
- 5 MR. ARENSTEIN: Thank you.
- 6 MS. LEIDHOLDT: May I approach the witness?
- 7 | THE COURT: You may.
- 8 | Q. Ms. Lee, I'm handing you a document that's been marked
- 9 Respondent's 16 for identification. Ms. Lee, do you recognize
- 10 | that document?
- 11 | A. Yes.

- 12 | Q. What do you recognize that document to be?
- 13 A. A police report.
- 14 | Q. Is this the police report that you made on August 15th of
- 15 | 2011?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. After the incident that you just testified about?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Is that your signature on the police report?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Your Honor, I request that
- 22 | petitioner's 16 for identification be entered into evidence as
- 23 | Petitioner's 16 in evidence.
- 24 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 25 MR. ARENSTEIN: Same objection.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Can I look at it, your Honor? I haven't had a chance to look at it.

THE COURT: Yes.

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARENSTEIN: Again my same objection.

1 THE COURT: Same ruling. Received, Respondent's 17.

- 2 (Respondent's Exhibit 17 received in evidence)
- 3 Q. Ms. Lee, how much time transpired between the time this
- 4 | incident took place at the car park and the time you went to
- 5 | the police?
- 6 A. Two hours.
- 7 | Q. What happened during those two hours?
- 8 | A. I was at the car park until around 4:00. And then when I
- 9 | left, it was already around 4 o'clock, then I drove to the
- 10 police station.
- 11 | Q. How long did it take you to go from the car park to the
- 12 police station, if you recall?
- 13 A. It would be around 30 to 40 minutes' drive because of the
- 14 | traffic.
- 15 | Q. After your encounter with Mr. Souratgar and before you
- 16 | arrived at the police station, did you take any action to
- 17 | injure yourself?
- 18 | A. To?
- 19 Q. Injure yourself.
- 20 \parallel A. No. I was in the car driving.
- 21 | Q. At any time how long did it take you to go from the police
- 22 | station after you filed the report there to the medical clinic,
- 23 | if you recall?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. We haven't had
- 25 | a time when she'd gone to the medical clinic or when she went

1 | to the medical clinic.

THE COURT: Why don't you lay a little bit of a foundation.

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I will, your Honor.

- Q. What did your what time you made the police report?
- A. After I waited for my turn, it was probably around 6:00, 6:00-plus.
 - Q. That's 6 o'clock in the evening, is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. After you finished making the police report, how long did
 11 it take from that point until you arrived at the medical
- 12 | clinic?
- A. I was at the police station giving my statement, I was
 there for almost an hour. It was more than one hour. One and
 a half hours. Then after that, I went to the doctor. But they
 were closed for dinner break from I think most likely 7:00 to
 7:30, so I waited for the doctor to come back. I was waiting

MR. ARENSTEIN: I can't hear that.

at the clinic and then the doctor came back.

- THE COURT: Back up your last few words and say them again.
- A. When I reached the clinic around 7 o'clock, the doctor was out for his dinner break. And then I returned in the clinic reception until he came back around 7:30. Then he was seeing other patients. By the time he got to my turn, it was around

- 1 | one hour later.
- 2 | Q. At any time after you left the police precinct until you
- 3 | actually saw the doctor, did you take any action to inflict
- 4 | injury on yourself?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Did there come a time that you opened the parcels that Mr.
- 7 | Souratgar and his brother had delivered to your car?
- 8 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. I don't know
- 9 how the parcel is relevant to anything to do with this case.
- 10 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Subject to connection, your Honor?
- 11 THE COURT: Yes, I'll allow it.
- 12 A. I opened the parcel after I got home from the doctor's
- 13 clinic.
- 14 | Q. What, if anything, did you discover when you opened the
- 15 | parcels?
- 16 A. I discovered that two boxes contain the items that my
- 17 | brother ordered and the other box, essentially there's another
- 18 | two small empty boxes inside the big box.
- 19 | Q. What conclusion did you reach after discovering that one of
- 20 | the boxes was empty but contained two small empty boxes?
- 21 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: I'll hear the answer subject to a motion
- 23 | to strike.
- 24 \parallel A. I had concluded that Majid actually have another fake box
- 25 || in order for his brother, for an excuse for his brother to come

1 down with him to put the parcels in my car.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Move to strike.

3 THE COURT: I'm not going to rule on that at this 4 stage. Much of what has been introduced at this hearing, 5 including by both sides, has struck me as either irrelevant or, under rule 403, having slight probative value which is 6 7 substantially outweighed by the other factors in Rule 403. I'm 8 going to let it stand for the moment subject to my ability to 9 disregard it in adjudicating this case. Quite frankly, I don't 10 see the relevance to the issues, the only issues, that are 11 before me under article 30 and article 20. Next question.

- Q. Did there come a time that you obtained the papers that Mr.
- 13 | Souratgar had tried to serve you with?
- 14 | A. Yes.

12

15

16

17

- Q. Ms. Lee, at any time during the course of your marriage or afterwards, to the best of your knowledge, has Mr. Souratgar ever resided in Malaysia?
- 18 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Has Shayan ever resided in Malaysia?
- 22 | A. No.
- Q. Ms. Lee, why do you believe that Mr. Souratgar filed a custody action for Shayan in Malaysia in the Shariah court?
- 25 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 2 | Q. Did there come a time that you were served with the
- 3 | Malaysian Shariah court papers?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. What, if anything, did you have to do once you were served
- 6 | with those papers?
- 7 A. I have to attend a hearing at the Malaysian Shariah court,
- 8 | in which I have no choice but to hire a Malaysian attorney who
- 9 | specialize in Shariah proceedings.
- 10 | Q. Did you have the resources to hire an attorney to represent
- 11 | you in the Malaysian Shariah court proceedings?
- 12 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 | A. No. I have to borrow money from my brother to pay for the
- 15 | legal costs.
- 16 | Q. What was your financial situation at this time?
- 17 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 A. I was unemployed. I was looking around for a job. In the
- 20 | meantime I have to pay for all the basic necessities for
- 21 | Shayan, myself, and of the household expenses as well.
- 22 | THE COURT: This is at a point in time when you were
- 23 | living in your sister's house, is that correct?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: You described for the Court in your prior

THE WITNESS: I have to come up with strategies. Then we have to implement these at the shop front.

THE COURT: How long did you work for them?

2.3

24

THE COURT: Is this a distributor just in Singapore,

Two years.

THE WITNESS:

- 16
- 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18
- 19 If you know, Ms. Lee, did your attorney who was 20 representing you in the custody case in Singapore at that time 21 communicate with Ms. Gomez about the custody case that Mr.
- 22 Souratgar had brought at the same time in Malaysia?
- 2.3 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - I'd like to direct your attention to August 16th of 2011.

recall? I'll rephrase the question. Ms. Lee, did there come a

- 3 time that you went to family court in Singapore?
- 4 | A. Yes.

1

- 5 Q. Do you remember what month, approximately, you went to the
- 6 | family court in Singapore?
- 7 A. There were a few times I went there.
- Q. Did there come a time that you went to the family court inSingapore to apply for a personal protection order?
- THE COURT: You're asking this witness about her

 physical travel to the building where the court is located, is

 that your question?
- MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes, I am.
- 14 THE COURT: OK.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. When was the first time that you went to the family court
- 17 | in Singapore to apply for a personal protection order, if you
- 18 recall?
- 19 A. The day after this incident.
- 20 MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the answer.
- 21 | THE COURT: The day after what, ma'am?
- 22 THE WITNESS: This incident.
- 23 | THE COURT: What do you mean by "this incident"?
- 24 THE WITNESS: The incident at the car park.
- 25 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

1 THE WITNESS: The incident at the car park.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3

- Q. Why did you decide at that point to apply for a personal protection order?
- 5 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 6 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 7 A. Because I felt that even after I left Majid, he is still 8 finding ways to harm me.
- 9 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. Move to 10 strike.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 A. And I was frightened. So then I wanted some protection 13 from the police.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. This is not a response to the question. She already answered the question.
- THE COURT: She did answer the question. Next question.
- 18 MR. ARENSTEIN: Move to strike the response.
- 19 THE COURT: Granted.
- 20 Q. At that point in time did you think that the personal protection order would influence the police response?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor, to the question and the response.
- 25 THE COURT: Overruled.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

THE COURT: So we have a difference of opinion as to

MS. LEIDHOLDT: That's correct, your Honor.

whether there was ever any order from the Singapore court that

(212) 805-0300

2.3

24

understanding as to the effect -- may I see the document, Mr.

is now the sixth day of this hearing there isn't even an

24

1 | Arenstein.

2.3

MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm going to need some time to get it for your Honor.

THE COURT: You need some time? I'll wait.

MR. ARENSTEIN: My understanding is, your Honor, whenever you go to the court initially, which is what she did first, they issue an order. I don't know that we have a copy of the order. Maybe we do.

THE COURT: Why don't you look to get me a copy of the order. You said there is an order. You made that statement, Mr. Arenstein. Show me a copy of the order and show it to Ms. Leidholdt.

MR. ARENSTEIN: I do have a document, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sure you have plenty of documents. The question is whether you have a copy of the order.

MR. ARENSTEIN: What I have, your Honor --

THE COURT: No, no. Do you have a copy of the order?

MR. ARENSTEIN: We'll get the order. But we do have an order which was issued by the court which says, "Leave granted to withdraw personal protection order application for self. Summons withdrawn." This was issued by the court after she had gotten the temporary order prior to getting --

THE COURT: I've seen the order to which you're referring. You have asserted that there was an order from the court in Singapore providing Ms. Lee with protection, some kind

of a protective order. Whether it is called a PPO or it is given some other name, it's some form of order of protection. You have asserted that, is that correct?

MR. ARENSTEIN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you stand by that?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I stand by that.

THE COURT: I have asked you to provide me with a copy of that order. Take your time and look for it.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Thank you.

2.3

THE COURT: This has been unacceptable conduct in this case. I had a statement made that there was a submission by the respondent to this Court that accused the petitioner of engaging in acts of terrorism or being a terrorist. I stopped the proceeding and I asked for it, and then it turned out that it there never was any such document. Now I'm being told yet again there is this order from the court in Singapore. Ms. Leidholdt says there is not such an order.

As a United States district judge, with lawyers appearing before me who are subject to rule 11 as to their oral statements, I'm entitled to expect that they be careful in their assertions. This is not some idle point. The assertion that Mr. Souratgar had been accused of being a terrorist in a submission to me was not an idle point. I'm entitled to see the order on which you're relying and I am waiting.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, may I have my associate

THE COURT: I'll wait for you. Let's see this. We'll take a recess.

(Recess)

(Continued on next page)

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

memorandum of law, and a copy was provided to Ms. Leidholdt and

to Ms. Baum under the reply to the petitioner's reply to the memorandum of law. They were documents applied to both sides prior to being in this Court today and, your Honor, the first is a copy of expedited protection order given to Ms. Fair by the court immediately after she alleged she had been abused by Mr. Souratgar. This document was contained in the petitioner's reply to memorandum of law which has been filed with this Court and both parties have received.

She was protected under this order until she appeared in the court with further proof in order for her to get an extended PPO, and the Women's Charter under 65 and 66 are what basically gives her that protection.

At this time she made an application to withdraw this application for an extended PPO because she learned that the photographs taken at the scene showed that she had sustained no injuries. She received the second expedited order which we will show the court as well.

The second --

2.3

THE COURT: Do you have that, sir? Do you have that? Have you given that to Ms. Leidholdt?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ARENSTEIN: That was also in the reply memorandum. We'll get to that on cross.

THE COURT: We have taken almost an hour break so that I could take up two criminal matters. You haven't been able to find the second one?

1 MR. ARENSTEIN: It was not requested, your Honor.

The second document that you have there is a letter written to a judge of the Singapore court alleging that ${\tt Mr.}$ Souratgar is a --

THE COURT: You're telling me there is a second order.

The issue before the break was whether there was an order, any kind of protective order. You asserted there was, and Ms. Leidholdt asserted there wasn't.

Now the reason you're not producing the second order is, if I understand the words you just said --

MR. ARENSTEIN: We --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Excuse me a second, sir. If I understand the words you said, is because it wasn't requested? Is that what you're saying, sir?

MR. ARENSTEIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: That is what I think you just said though.

MR. ARENSTEIN: We believe I was addressing the court on the first order, which is what we did.

There was an order. She said there wasn't an order. There is an order. I was accused by Ms. Leidholdt that there was no order. Your Honor said to produce the order. We have now produced the order. The further order I have here. This is the second order.

THE COURT: Show it to Ms. Leidholdt.

Have you marked it for identification?

1 MR. ARENSTEIN: Not yet, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

2.3

MR. ARENSTEIN: This was also in the reply, petitioner's reply to the memorandum of law of the respondent. Both documents were given to Ms. Baum by ECF and to Ms. Dorchen Leidholdt by ECF. This is the document, the second order. I can mark it Exhibit O, Petitioner's. I have to make copies at the break and I can provide them to the court if that is okay with your Honor?

THE COURT: That is fine.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Further, the second thing is --

THE COURT: Why don't you mark it and give it to my Deputy, and my Deputy will make copies.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Thank you.

The second thing is, the letter, the second document was a letter written to a judge of the Singapore court alleging Mr. Souratgar is a terrorist in order to influence the court and deny Mr. Souratgar access and care, right of care and control of his son.

I also am handing the court a copy of Mr. Souratgar's certificate of good conduct issued by the Singapore police since 1995 to 2012.

THE COURT: It is not in evidence and I am not going to take it. It is not something I can take judicial notice of.

If one wants to, it may be the case I can take

judicial notice of the order of a Singapore court, and I will consider that issue. I don't see where correspondence or any such thing I can take judicial notice of.

MR. ARENSTEIN: This will be shown to counter any allegations that were made in this letter. I'll just go forward.

If I may, your Honor, I request the court to consider cautioning respondent's counsel from any further accusations such as the observed fact that Mr. Souratgar boarded a Turkish Airline flight on November 12th when he was actually in New York with his son. He was accompanied by his son on the 13th, at 9:00 am, for visit at respondent's home in Upstate New York. There is no way he could have gotten at 11:00 o'clock at night from the airport to a visitation.

Second, Ms. Gomez had written an affidavit endangering the respondent in Singapore, when we gave the court a copy of the letter Ms. Leidholdt was calling endangering affidavit not only in open court, but two weeks ago accompanying a request to your Honor to request a letter for the documents to the director from the Singapore court on Family Harmony.

We also requested a passenger list from the Turkish Airlines to prove the fact that Mr. Souratgar was not at the airport and not boarding a plane there.

THE COURT: Your application to bar counsel from making statements is denied. It remains the case that you

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

stood up before this Court and told the court that in its submission to this Court, your client was accused of being a terrorist, and you have confirmed for me that that statement was not correct, that your adversary had never submitted any such statement to this Court. I don't understand what you have said now to in any way refute that.

Furthermore, at this stage of the proceeding there is testimony from a witness that your client was at Kennedy Airport boarding a plane, Turkish Airlines, and to quote the witness, she is sure that, "beyond a shadow of a doubt." That is the testimony I have.

I am not going to bar respondent's counsel from referring to that testimony. You have avenues open to you, and I suggest you utilize the avenues that are open to you, okay?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, I had objected, when we stopped the proceeding, I had objected to the answer by Mrs. Lee Jen Fair based on the fact there was an additional order outstanding, and now that there is an additional order

THE COURT: I'll take the motion to strike under advisement. Next question.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION continued

Next question.

outstanding, I renew my objection.

24 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

Ms. Lee, what happened on August 16th, 2011 when you

THE WITNESS: Asked me to swear under oath, and then he told me to go out of the room and wait at the reception

THE COURT: No. Overruled.

2.3

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 What, if anything, happened on the following court date? Q.
- 20 We went to the court and waited for our turn.
- 21 MR. ARENSTEIN: We what?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Waited for our turn.
- 2.3 "For our turn." THE COURT:
- 24 MR. ARENSTEIN: Okay.
- 25 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

- 1 | Q. What happened then?
- 2 A. Then the judge there ordered that we go for counseling
- 3 session before proceeding further, so we were escorted out from
- 4 | the courtroom.
- 5 | Q. When you say "we," who are you referring to?
- 6 A. Me, Majid and Ms. Gomez.
- 7 Q. So Ms. Gomez was in court along with Mr. Souratgar. Is
- 8 | that right?
 - A. Yes.

- 10 | Q. What happened then?
- 11 A. And then we waited for our turn for a counseling session.
- 12 | I was called in first, and then I spoke to the counselor, and I
- 13 | told him what happened, and the counselor told me that --
- 14 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- THE COURT: I'll take it for the fact it was said. Go
- 16 | ahead.
- 17 | A. -- and the counselor told me to reconsider the application
- 18 | because she said that it is better to settle things out of
- 19 court. Then she asked me whether me and Majid are still
- 20 | residing together, and I told her no. So she said the chances
- 21 of physical abuse is very little.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor, and I move to
- 23 | strike the testimony.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll allow it to stand for whatever it's
- 25 worth.

THE WITNESS: And then she also said that in the future you just have to be more careful, and then she asked me whether I am going to hire an attorney to represent me because she said in order to obtain a permanent protection order, I would be required to go for a trial.

And she said that — then I told her what if I represented myself — and she said it is going to be very

represented myself -- and she said it is going to be very difficult because you do not know the court procedures. Then she said you might want to reconsider that.

So her advice to me was just to be more careful in the future, and she said the fact we are not staying together, meaning the next event of physical event.

So after that --

THE COURT: You have answered the question. Next question.

(Continued on next page)

- Q. What happened after that?
- THE COURT: No, no. What happened in relation to what, ma'am? What is your question?
- 4 Q. After you left the session with the counselor in family
- 5 court, did something else happen in family court that day?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 | Q. What happened?
- 8 A. After I did a counseling session, Majid went in for the
- 9 counseling session. Then both of us were required to wait
- 10 downstairs and to appear again in front of the same judge, in
- 11 | which he asked whether I would like to proceed or I would like
- 12 | to withdraw my application. I choose to withdraw my
- 13 | application, after much deliberation.
- 14 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection. Move to strike.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled. Tell me about the counseling
- 16 | session. Who was the counselor? I don't need to know a name.
- 17 | What kind of a person was it?
- 18 THE WITNESS: They are court officers, but they are --
- 19 on their name tag is written "counselor."
- 20 THE COURT: Say that again.
- 21 THE WITNESS: They are court officers, but the name
- 22 | tag that they wore are written they are counselors.
- 23 THE COURT: Did they meet with you together or
- 24 | separately or both? How does it work?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Separately.

- 1 | were supervised visitations happening at the Center for Family
- 2 | Harmony?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You would bring Shayan to the supervised visit and pick him
- 5 | up?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Would you wait there?
- 8 A. No, I'm not allowed to be around during the supervised
- 9 | visit.
- 10 | Q. When you took Shayan to the Center for Family Harmony and
- 11 | when you picked him up from the Center for Family Harmony, did
- 12 | you encounter Mr. Souratgar?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How often did you encounter Mr. Souratgar?
- 15 A. Every time I send Shayan to the center.
- 16 | Q. What, if anything, happened when you encountered Mr.
- 17 | Souratgar at the Center for Family Harmony?
- 18 THE COURT: Fix a time, Ms. Leidholdt.
- 19 MS. LEIDHOLDT: I will, your Honor.
- 20 | Q. When was the first time, if you recall, that you took
- 21 | Shayan to the Center for Family Harmony, approximately?
- 22 | A. July, end of July, August. I really can't remember the
- 23 | first visit.
- 24 | Q. of 2011?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. For what period of time did the supervised visitation at
- 2 | the Center for Family Harmony go on?
- 3 A. Until I left for the United States.
- 4 | Q. That would have been --
- 5 | THE COURT: 20th May 2012.
- 6 Q. So it is your testimony that it was almost a year, is that
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You testified that you encountered Mr. Souratgar at the
- 10 | Center for Family Harmony repeatedly?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 | Q. Ms. Lee, how many visits per week, supervised visits, were
- 15 | there at the Center for Family Harmony starting in July of
- 16 | 2011, July or August of 2011, when the visits commenced?
- 17 | A. It was once a week for two hours.
- 18 | Q. Did there come a time that the visits became two times a
- 19 week?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. When was that, if you recall?
- 22 A. November. I think it's November.
- 23 | Q. That would be November of 2011?
- 24 | A. Yes, correct.
- 25 | THE COURT: From what period to what period was it

1 once a week supervised visitation through Family Harmony?

THE WITNESS: From the start. I can't really recall
the first date, but it went on for a couple of months, probably
to November. Then it increased to twice a week.

THE COURT: How long did it run twice a week?

THE WITNESS: Two hours per session.

THE COURT: The twice a week continued till when?

THE WITNESS: Until day I left, 20th of May.

THE COURT: 2012?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So from November 2011 to May of 2012?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.

- Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the period of time while there was one visit a week. That would be from approximately August of 2011 until November of 2011. During that period did you have any encounters with Mr. Souratgar at the Center for Family Harmony?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection to the phrase "encounters," your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Every time when I send Shayan down and I pick him, he would scold me for something at the center.
 - Q. When you say scold, what do you mean?
- 25 \parallel A. He would just pick on things. He would just pick on petty

1 issues like why is Shayan not wearing socks, why is he having

- 2 | the flu, all these petty issues.
- 3 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 5 Q. How loudly or softly was he speaking when he made these 6 statements to you?
- 7 A. He was using a very harsh tone. It's above a normal 8 conversation tone.
- 9 Q. Did that continue after November of 2011?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. There were officials, workers, at the Center for Family
- 12 | Harmony --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 \parallel Q. -- that were assisting with the supervised visitation?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did they ever have the occasion to respond to any of these
- 17 | kinds of encounters that you have just described?
- 18 A. Every single time.
- 19 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled. I don't understand what your 21 question means by "respond." I'm going to sustain the
- 22 objection as to form. Re-ask your question.
- 23 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes.
- Q. Ms. Lee, when Mr. Souratgar was making these kinds of
- 25 statements to you at the Center for Family Harmony, did the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection to the last statement.

THE COURT: Sustained. Stricken.

Q. Were there any occasions where the workers there physically intervened when Mr. Souratgar was interacting with you in these

1 | ways?

4

2 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Yes. They pulled away a few times.
- Q. How close did Mr. Souratgar actually get to you during the incidents that you are describing?
- 7 A. It usually happens when I -- usually when I will be
- 8 | carrying Shayan, and then they will walk towards me to take
- 9 | Shayan from me. So it's more like we are handing the child to
- 10 | each other, that's how close. And then he would start scolding
- 11 | and shouting.
- 12 | Q. Ms. Lee, I'd like to direct your attention to November 22,
- 13 | 2011.
- 14 | A. OK.
- 15 | Q. Around 4 p.m. at the Center for Family Harmony.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What, if anything, was happening at that time?
- 18 \parallel A. On that day, as normal, I was going to fetch Shayan after
- 19 | the supervised visit. The procedure is that Mr. Souratgar
- 20 | would stay back ten minutes for clearance after I left with
- 21 | Shayan and my maid. On that day he told the counselor, Mr.
- 22 | Colin, that he have an urgent meeting to attend to.
- 23 | THE COURT: Wait a minute. Were you present?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 | THE COURT: When Mr. Souratgar said this?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

- Q. This is when you were going to pick up Shayan at the conclusion of a supervised visit?
- A. Yes. So I was in the doorway waiting for Shayan to come out. Then Shayan came out, and then Majid went over to the counselor and say that he has an urgent meeting to attend and he needs to go off first.
 - MR. ARENSTEIN: I didn't hear that answer, your Honor.

 THE COURT: Repeat what you said, ma'am.
 - THE WITNESS: Majid said to the counselor that he have an urgent meeting to attend, so he wants to go off first.
 - Q. Would you please explain what was the usual procedure when you went to pick up Shayan after the supervised visit with his father, how did the officials there, what, if anything, did they do?
- 17 A. The procedure is when I finish Shayan, he will stay back at the center.
- 19 | Q. Who is "he"?
- 20 A. Mr. Souratgar.
- 21 | O. Yes.
- A. Would stay back at the center with the counselor for another ten minutes, and then he can leave after that.
- Q. Did you understand what the purpose of Mr. Souratgar's staying with the counselor for ten minutes was?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Tell me what happened.

THE WITNESS: Majid say that he needs to go off first. So the counselor -- the door was open, so he just say thank you, Mr. Colin, and then he just went off. Then Mr. Colin told me, OK --

1 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection to what Mr. Colin told her.

THE COURT: Why? What's the basis?

MR. ARENSTEIN: It's hearsay and it's not --

THE COURT: What is the basis for the objection?

MR. ARENSTEIN: It's submitted for the truth of the statement.

THE COURT: Is it?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I believe so.

THE COURT: What did Mr. Colin say?

THE WITNESS: He say, OK, so do you want to wait for another ten minutes before you leave.

THE COURT: How is that for the truth of its content?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I'll withdraw it for now. I think the

whole line of what Mr. Colin said is definitely submitted for the truth of something that occurred, and I can't cross-examine

16 Mr. Colin.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: No, you can't. The hearsay rule means that you cannot offer the statement of a nondeclarant, a nonwitness, for the truth of its content. If Mr. Colin says Mr. Arenstein hit his associate in the face with a pie, that statement can come in. If the fact that Mr. Colin said it has some relevance, it comes in. It's not hearsay if it's not offered to prove that Mr. Arenstein hit his associate in the face with a pie. If it is offered to prove the hitting in the face with a pie, then it becomes hearsay. The fact that it is

1 said is not hearsay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

I don't intend, quite frankly, to conduct a seminar on the Federal Rules of Evidence and the body of case law under I've been very patient, Mr. Arenstein, and this is not the fifth conversation we're having on the hearsay rule. It's been more than five times in the course of this hearing we have had 7 to have a conversation. I really urge you to read up on it.

- BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:
- What happened then, Ms. Lee?
- Then me, Shayan, and my maid stayed back at the center for another ten minutes. From the window of the center, we could look into the car park, and we saw Majid driving off. 12
- 13 THE COURT: Confine your comments to what you 14 personally saw, not what we saw.
 - A. I saw that Majid drove off and exit the car park to the I stayed back another five minutes, and then I left with Shayan and the maid. We went to the car, strapped Shayan into the car seat, and then I drove off.
- 19 Where was the car seat in the car?
- At the back. 20 Α.
- 21 Where was the maid seated?
- She was seated beside him. 22 Α.
- 23 Beside Shayan in the backseat?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 You were?

1 A. In the driver's seat.

4

- Q. What, if anything, occurred as you were beginning to drive off?
 - THE COURT: Can you give me a date on this or a month or an approximation?
- 6 THE WITNESS: 22nd November.
- 7 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 8 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Of 2011.
- 9 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 10 A. As I drove off, exited the car park, and I turned right,
- 11 | then I saw Majid car parked at the next car park. So I just
- 12 \parallel told my maid, oh, Souratgar is over there. And she turned and
- 13 | she look. Anyway, I just continue driving. Then he came out
- 14 | from the car park, and he followed me. I just continued
- 15 driving towards the highway which I want to take to go home,
- 16 | but he catch up with me and he accelerate and then he tried to
- 17 | intervene to the front of my car.
- 18 | Q. When you say he tried to intervene with the front of your
- 19 | car, what specifically do you mean?
- 20 \parallel A. Meaning he was cutting into my lane. I was on the straight
- 21 | road and he was cutting into the lane.
- 22 | Q. When he was cutting into your lane, was his car behind
- 23 | yours or parallel to yours or in front of yours?
- 24 \parallel A. He was in the back, then he went to the side, then he speed
- 25 \parallel up to cut into my lane, so it's like a parallel into my lane.

- 1 | Q. Approximately how fast were you driving at this time?
- 2 A. In kilometers at that time it was around 40, 50 kilometers 3 per hour.
- 4 | Q. What happened then?

(indicating).

9

16

20

- A. Then I just continue driving. He didn't manage to cut into the lane; he tried. Then we came to a traffic light stop, at which he stopped right beside my car. And then he started making funny faces. His hand gesture was like this
- THE COURT: Raising an upward palm, right?

 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 A. Then he was making funny faces and he was also laughing.
- 13 | Q. What, if anything, happened when the light changed?
- 14 | A. I just continued to drive towards the highway.
- 15 | Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Souratgar do at that time?
- lane. This continue until we almost reach the highway where if
 you go straight it's the highway and if you turn to your right
 it's another circle. During that time I thought that I would

Then he started overtaking again and tried to cut into my

21 Q. How were you feeling as this was happening?

go back to the family center for help.

- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. I was very frightened. I was also trying my best to make sure that I do not get into the accident because of his chase.

THE COURT: What did you do?

THE WITNESS: In my mind I just focus on just to drive back to the center.

4 THE COURT: Is that what you did?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

6 THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.

Q. How long, approximately, did this car chase last?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase your question. There is no evidence of a car chase.

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I'm sorry, your Honor.

- 12 Q. How long did this episode with Mr. Souratgar driving close
- 13 | to you as you were driving last, if you recall?
- 14 | A. Ten minutes.

5

7

8

9

10

- 15 | Q. What, if anything, did you do at the end of that time?
- 16 A. I drove back to the family center. Before arriving at the
- 17 center, we stopped at another traffic light.
- 18 | Q. Where was Mr. Souratgar's car as you began to drive back to
- 19 | the family center?
- 20 A. Beside mine.
- 21 | Q. You testified that you stopped at a light. What happened
- 22 | then?
- 23 \parallel A. I took out my cell phone. At the break I just take it and
- 24 \parallel I want to take a photo of his car.
- 25 || Q. What, if anything, occurred once you did that?

- 1 A. He saw me holding the phone, and when the light turned
- 2 green he just speed off.
- 3 \parallel Q. What happened then?
- 4 A. Then I drove back to the family center.
- 5 | Q. What did you do once you were at the family center?
- 6 A. When we reach there, me, Shayan, and the maid, we got out
- 7 and went back to the family center. Mr. Colin opened the door,
- 8 | and then I told him what happened.
- 9 Q. How, if at all, was the made responding to this incident?
- 10 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 11 | THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 12 | Q. How, if at all, if you observed, was the maid responding to
- 13 | this incident?
- 14 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. I'm not terribly sure, Ms.
- 16 | Leidholdt, that you have demonstrated why the respondent's
- 17 | reaction is relevant in this proceeding. If the respondent was
- 18 | in dread fear or thought it to be trivial, how does that make
- 19 | your case any stronger or weaker either way?
- MS. LEIDHOLDT: First of all, your Honor, the child
- 21 was in the car.
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 MS. LEIDHOLDT: The child likely would have been aware
- 24 | of the reactions of the adults in the car. This incident would
- 25 | have directly impacted the child.

1 THE COURT: The incident may have directly impacted 2 the child, but how your client was feeling would not 3 necessarily impact the child. What your client was doing, 4 saying, or how she reacted visibly could possibly have some 5 relevance; how she was feeling would not. Next question, ma'am. We are not trying a divorce action, we are not trying a 6 7 personal protection or protective order action, and we are not 8 trying a custody case. Go ahead, next question.

- Q. What, if anything, did you do after you went back to the Family Harmony center?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection. Asked and answered, your 12 Honor.
- THE COURT: I think it has been answered. You spoke to Mr. Collins, didn't you?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9

- 16 | THE COURT: Next question.
- 17 | Q. What, if anything, did you do after that?
- 18 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. I was at the center. I stayed there for around 15 minutes to calm down. Then I left the center and headed home.
- Q. Did there come a time that you lodged a police report about this incident?
- A. Yes. After I dropped Shayan and the maid home, I went to the police station to lodge a police report.

- 2 police report?
- 3 A. On the same day.
- 4 Q. Ms. Lee, I'm showing you two documents for identification
- 5 | marked Respondent's 18 and 19 for identification. Could you
- 6 please look at them.
- 7 | A. OK.
- 8 | Q. Ms. Lee, do you recognize the document marked Respondent's
- 9 | 18 for identification?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What do you recognize this document to be?
- 12 A. A police report.
- 13 | Q. Was this the police report that you made on November 22nd
- 14 of 2011?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. After the incident that you just described?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Is that your signature on the police report?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Your Honor, I respectfully offer
- 21 Respondent's 18 for identification into evidence as
- 22 Respondent's 18 in evidence.
- 23 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 24 MR. ARENSTEIN: The same objection I had before.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

very frightened and she told me that for two nights she

THE COURT: How did you obtain this document, Ms. Lee?

THE WITNESS: My maid Ram, after this incident she was

2.3

24

- court officer. And then, to get an application form, she sent
 me to a magistrate. I'm required to swear in front of a
- 4 magistrate, the same procedure.
 - Q. When you arrived at court --
- 6 MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, what date was this?
- 7 THE COURT: Date, please. When was this, ma'am?
 - Q. If you recall.
- 9 THE COURT: Ms. Lee, do you recall when this was?
- 10 | THE WITNESS: The exact date I'm not sure, but it's a
- 11 week or more later, probably ten days later I went to the
- 12 | family court.

- 13 THE COURT: OK.
- 14 | Q. Did there come a time after you filed those papers,
- 15 | submitted those reports, that you then returned to court, to
- 16 | the Singapore family court?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Approximately how long after that first court date was the
- 19 | second court date in Singapore family court, if you recall?
- 20 MR. ARENSTEIN: Can I have the dates that we are
- 21 | talking about, your Honor? I'm a little confused.
- 22 THE COURT: No. I think that's what we are trying to
- 23 do now.
- 24 Do you recall how long after the first date the second
- 25 | date was?

25

Α.

court appearance?

Yes.

- 1 | Q. Was anyone with Mr. Souratgar?
- 2 A. Ms. Gomez.
- 3 | Q. What, if anything, happened on that second court
- 4 | appearance, if you recall?
- 5 A. The same procedure. We were supposed to meet with the
- 6 | counselor.
- 7 | Q. Did you meet with the counselor?
- 8 A. This time no.
- 9 Q. What arguments, if any, were made? Was there an appearance
- 10 | before a judge on the second court date?
- 11 | A. Sorry. Actually, we did meet with the counselor.
- 12 MR. ARENSTEIN: I didn't hear the answer.
- 13 A. We did meet with the counselor.
- 14 \parallel Q. What, if anything, did you tell the counselor?
- 15 A. I told her what happened.
- 16 | Q. Yes. Did you go before a judge on that second court date?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 \parallel Q. What, if anything, transpired while you were in front of
- 19 | the judge?
- 20 \parallel A. After we met with the counselor, we met the judge. Then he
- 21 asked whether we are going to proceed or do you want to
- 22 | withdraw it.
- 23 \parallel Q. What, if anything, did you say?
- 24 A. This time I decided to proceed.
- 25 \parallel Q. What happened then in court?

- 1 A. Then they say OK, and they gave us a date for a trial.
- 2 | Q. When did the trial occur?
- 3 A. Sometime in February.
- 4 MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
- 5 A. Sometime in February.
- 6 Q. Was there anyone in court? Was Mr. Souratgar in court the
- 7 day of trial?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. Was anyone else in court with Mr. Souratgar?
- 10 A. Yes. Ms. Gomez and another of her associates.
- 11 | Q. Did you bring any witnesses with you on the date of trial?
- 12 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 13 | THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 | A. No, I didn't.
- 15 | Q. Why not?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 A. During the first trial, Majid, he took the stand. Then, at
- 19 | the end of the session, I came out and --
- 20 | THE COURT: What first trial?
- 21 THE WITNESS: The first trial.
- 22 || THE COURT: What first trial?
- 23 | THE WITNESS: There were two dates given. The first
- 24 | trial he was taking the stand.
- 25 THE COURT: You mentioned that this was set for trial

correct?

THE COURT: You decided not to call witnesses?

THE WITNESS: No, they didn't prohibit. It's just

24

25

witnesses?

THE COURT: I'll allow it. Didn't you get into this in your client's direct testimony, Mr. Arenstein?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Yes.

2.3

24

THE COURT: So what is your basis for objection to opposing counsel getting into it in her client's testimony?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I was under the impression she was going to be talking to what occurred in the trial. If it was what occurred in the trial itself, I was objecting.

THE COURT: Did your impression come from anything other than the question that was asked?

MR. ARENSTEIN: It was the question that was asked.

THE COURT: All right. How does the question that was asked vary from the question that you asked your client on the stand when he testified in your direct case?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I'll withdraw my objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may answer.

- A. At the end the judge dismiss the case. She said that there was just lack of evidence.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: I didn't hear that.
- 18 THE COURT: "At the end the judge dismissed the case.

 19 She say there was a lack of evidence."
- 20 MR. ARENSTEIN: Thank you.
- Q. Did the judge say that she didn't believe you or didn't find you credible?
- 23 | A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Ms. Lee, did you initiate another legal action in Singapore in January of 2011?

- 1 A. Yes. I filed for divorce.
- 2 \parallel Q. Where did you file for divorce?
- 3 A. The Singapore Shariah court.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Why did you file for divorce in the Singapore Shariah court?
- 6 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 7 | THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Because we are Muslim, we register our marriage with the Muslim registration of marriage. Therefore, the only place that we, me and Majid, can file for divorce is at the Shariah court.
 - THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Lee, when you filed in Shariah court, did you have a lawyer who was admitted before the Shariah court representing you?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Who did you have?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Mr. Ahmad.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Abbas?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, Abbas.
- 20 | THE COURT: He is with the Straits law firm?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: Did Mr. Abbas also represent you in the
- 23 | custody proceeding?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: Did he represent you with regard to Mr.

- 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

25

19 20 21 another legal action in Singapore in January 2011?

After initiating the action for --

2.3 THE COURT: Whoa, whoa! Is there a question 24 somewhere?

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes, I was trying to pose that

Singapore?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

24 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

21

22

2.3

25

Did there come a time that you learned that the custody

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I am trying to locate that date and time because --

THE COURT: What is the factual basis for your question there was a transfer of custody from the courts of Singapore to the Shairiah court?

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Because there is an order that

transfers custody of the Family Court matter.

THE COURT: Are you talking about the matter which says, "The child shall continue to be in the care of the mother pending the determination of custody, care and control of the child by the Shairiah courts," or are you referring to some other order because I have seen no order transferring anything.

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I understand, your Honor.

Your Honor, the case was in the Family Court, proceeding in the Family Court in Singapore, and then it was, to the best of my understanding, based on conversations with respondent's counsel, she had Singapore counsel, sent to the Shairiah court, so that is the basis, the factual basis.

THE COURT: You have asked and elicited questions from this witness that the court in Singapore transferred it to the Shairiah court, correct?

MS. LEIDHOLDT: That's my understanding of what happened, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am asking for the basis. Do you have any order that reflects such a transfer?

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I don't have an order. I have conversations with my client's counsel who is representing her in those proceedings, and he indicated to me in our conversations that the custody case was transferred.

THE COURT: This witness is not competent to testify on that subject and I'll clear this up on the record.

case pending an appeal to the Third Circuit in some other

litigation, I have not transferred this case to the Third

Circuit or to some other court.

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

I will note for the record that the order that you have in your hand has in capital letters, bold face, underscored the following two words: "by consent."

Unless you have some testimony you propose to offer, this is not a transfer order. If this is what you're relying on, this is not a transfer order. It is a consent order and it appears to be that the court is continuing the custody arrangements pending a determination by the Shairiah courts, which is quite different than transferring it to the Shairiah courts, and the order, whatever its meaning is, is an order by consent.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Can I respond?

THE COURT: What are you responding to, Mr. Arenstein?

MR. ARENSTEIN: There is an additional order that says the case is staying in the Family Court of Singapore until further order of the court.

THE COURT: Please put your hand back so it is not over the Court Reporter's machine.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Okay. There is an additional order that is part of the document, and it is there where the court says that it continues the order until further order of the Family Court, the regular courts of Singapore.

> This is a different order? THE COURT:

MR. ARENSTEIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Where is it?

law?

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. There was a point in time when the custody matter was pending in the Shairiah courts, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: In Singapore?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what order or have you seen any order from the court in Singapore which explains how it came about that it was in the Shairiah courts?

THE WITNESS: It was during one of the mediations with the judge.

THE COURT: The mediation judge?

THE WITNESS: Yes, me, my attorney, Mr. Abass,
Mr. Souratgar and Ms. Gomez, we were in the same room, the
mediation room, and the judge was flipping through some
documents and then she said, oh, you are both Muslim. This
should be then referred to the Shairiah court. That was her
words.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: From there on I understood that this case was going to be referred to the Shairiah court.

THE COURT: But you explained to me before that the mediation judge is different than the judge who presides in your case, correct?

You have a judge who presides in your case who is the

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I have a copy, your Honor, the

lawyer?

capital letters -- do you know what capital letters are?

THE COURT: You don't know one way or the other?

2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Next question.

4 | BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

- Q. Ms. Lee, did you ever agree that the custody case involving your son Shayan would be heard within the Shairiah court in
- 7 | Singapore?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 10 A. No, I never agreed.
- 11 | Q. I'm marking -- Ms. Lee, I am showing you a document marked
- 12 Respondent's 20 for identification. Do you recognize this
- 13 | document?

8

- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 16 A. Notice of session.
- 17 Q. What kind of session did you understand this letter to be
- 18 | referring to?
- 19 A. A session with the Singapore Shairiah court with regards
- 20 | to --
- 21 THE COURT: No, no, no. The document isn't in
- 22 | evidence yet. If you would like to lay a foundation, offer it
- 23 | into evidence, get it received into evidence and then the
- 24 | witness can testify about the contents of the document. That

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

(Respondent's Exhibit 20 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Let's go. I expect the attorneys before me to be prepared and ready to go and both sides be familiar with the rules of evidence.

Am I correct this is day six of this hearing?

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes, your Honor. I am almost at the

- 19 Ah-huh. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 Would you please describe what happened at that session.
- 21 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection. Your Honor, this is --
- 22 THE COURT: Yes, sustained. This is a mediation
- 2.3 session, correct?
- 24 MS. LEIDHOLDT: It is a mandatory counseling session, 25 your Honor, within the Shairiah court.

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Yes.

THE COURT: Overruled. I'll take it with the decision on the merits. Go ahead, next question.

BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Q. What reaction did you have to this counseling session?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

25 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:

- 1 | Q. What, if anything, transpired on March 1st -- withdrawn --
- 2 did there come a time on or around March 1st, 2012 when you
- 3 received a communication from your mother about a letter from
- 4 | the National Registration Department of Malaysia?
- 5 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection; leading, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 7 | A. Yes, I did.
- 8 | Q. Did you subsequently receive a copy of this letter?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. To the best of your recollection, what were the contents of
- 11 | the letter?
- 12 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection unless --
- 13 THE COURT: That is sustained.
- 14 (Off-the-record discussion)
- 15 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:
- 16 | Q. Ms. Lee, I am showing you what has been marked as
- 17 Respondent's 21 for identification. Would you please look at
- 18 | that document.
- 19 | A. (Pause)
- 20 | Q. Ms. Lee, do you recognize that document?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 23 | A. A letter from the Malaysia government regarding my
- 24 | citizenship.
- 25 \parallel Q. When did you receive this letter?

- 1 A. Sometime in March when my mother received it and my brother
- 2 scanned and e-mailed me a copy.
- 3 | Q. Is this the exact letter that you received on that
- 4 | particular date?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: Are you offering it?
- 7 MS. LEIDHOLDT: I am offering into this evidence.
- 8 THE COURT: Any objection to R21?
- 9 MR. ARENSTEIN: I have an objection to the
- 10 | translation. I don't know whether it is accurate. I don't
- 11 | know who this person is that translated it. I don't know
- 12 | whether it is an --
- 13 THE COURT: See whether you can lay a foundation of
- 14 \parallel the translation through this witness.
- 15 BY MS. LEIDHOLDT:
- 16 | Q. Ms. Lee, you speak Malay and English both. Is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Are you fluent in both languages?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Would you please read the letter in Malay and the letter in
- 22 | English and state whether or not this is an accurate
- 23 | translation of the letter.
- 24 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Basis?

(Respondent's Exhibit 21 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Received.

24

MS. LEIDHOLDT: I am at the very, very end, your

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Just one second, please.

THE COURT: Do you have much longer?

22

2.3

24

25

Honor.

citizenship and were therefore in violation of Malaysia's prohibitions against its citizens holding dual citizenship?

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: Basis?

MR. ARENSTEIN: To the form of the question. It's a

2.3

24

MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow the witness to answer.

- 2 A. I will lose my Singapore permanent residency if my
- 3 | Malaysian citizenship is revoked.
- 4 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection. Move to strike the
- 5 response.
- 6 THE COURT: I'll take it under advisement.
- 7 Q. Where would you be able to go if you lost both your
- 8 | Malaysian citizenship and your Singaporean resident status?
- 9 A. I probably no place to go other than Iran.
- 10 | Q. In May of this year was there an active proceeding in the
- 11 | Shariah court in Malaysia brought by Mr. Souratgar for custody
- 12 of Shayan?
- 13 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 A. There was an appeal for the outcome, for the dismissal of
- 16 | custody proceeding in December 2011.
- 17 | Q. Who filed that appeal, if you know?
- 18 A. Mr. Souratgar.
- 19 THE COURT: Have you ever seen a copy of the appeal
- 20 papers, ma'am?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 | THE COURT: Where did you get them?
- 23 | THE DEFENDANT: It was sent to my parents' home in
- 24 | Malaysia, and my brother scanned it in.
- 25 THE COURT: Who sent them to you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

THE COURT: Overruled.

-- once you converted to Muslim in these two countries, once you're Muslim, you're bound under the Shariah law.

Basically, you're going to be subjected to severe punishment if you renounce Islam. I also know that the law under the Shariah court is very prejudiced towards women. The fact that Mr.

THE COURT: Thank you.

24

25

You may cross-examine. do it from the podium, sir.

- 1 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. ARENSTEIN:
- 3 | Q. Ms. Fair, when did you first retain your attorneys in
- 4 | Singapore?
- 5 A. When did I first retain?
- 6 Q. Yes. When did you first retain your attorneys in
- 7 | Singapore?
- 8 A. In April 2011.
- 9 Q. Do they still represent you?
- 10 | A. Not anymore.
- 11 | Q. When did they stop representing you?
- 12 A. After I left and came to United States.
- 13 \parallel Q. Were they still representing you on the date of 8/15/11
- 14 | when you filed a PPO application?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Were they representing you on 8/16/11 when you withdrew
- 17 | your order in the Singapore court?
- 18 A. He represent for custody, not for the PPO.
- 19 MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, will you ask the witness
- 20 \parallel to answer the question.
- 21 | THE COURT: I think the witness has highlighted an
- 22 ambiguity in the question. The lawyers represented her on that
- 23 | date for some matters but not for other matters. That is a
- 24 | perfectly acceptable response. Next question.
- 25 \parallel Q. Were they representing you on 5/31/08 when you went for an

- 1 order?
- 2 | A. 5/31 --
- 3 | Q. May 31, 2008.
- 4 | A. 2008? I didn't file for an order on 2008.
- 5 Q. What about January 6, 2010?
- 6 A. No, I didn't file for any orders.
- 7 | Q. August 15, 2011?
- 8 | A. He wasn't representing me for the personal protection.
- 9 Q. So you had the option of having your attorneys represent
- 10 | you at the hearings on the PPOs, is that correct?
- 11 A. I can hire them, but this is two separate case.
- 12 | Q. Did you have the option to have your attorney represent you
- 13 | at the hearings on the PPOs?
- 14 | A. You mean option to hire?
- 15 | Q. Did you have the option to have your attorney represent you
- 16 at the hearings on the PPOs? Yes or no.
- 17 | A. It's a yes and no answer because it's two separate. He is
- 18 representing me on custody. But for the PPO, it's a separate
- 19 | case, which I have to open a new file, which there will be
- 20 | additional retainer fees, which I do not have the funds for.
- 21 MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, I move to strike the
- 22 answer as not responsive to the question.
- 23 | THE COURT: Denied. Next question.
- 24 \parallel Q. You chose to represent yourself, is that correct?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Do you have any savings that you can cash in to pay your
- 2 attorneys?
- 3 A. At the moment, no.
- 4 | Q. I show you a document.
- 5 MR. ARENSTEIN: May I approach, your Honor?
- 6 THE COURT: You may. You have standing license to
- 7 | approach the witness, which is revocable.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is that your account?
- 11 A. This is an insurance account.
- 12 | Q. That's your account?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. This document shows that you have a mutual fund of
- 15 | \$16,881 --
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Arenstein, what's wrong with what
- 17 | you're doing?
- 18 MR. ARENSTEIN: Absolutely.
- 19 | THE COURT: No. What's wrong with what you're doing?
- 20 MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm not playing a foundation to
- 21 | introduce the document.
- 22 | THE COURT: No, that's not what's wrong with what
- 23 | you're doing. We're going to have continuations unless you
- 24 understand what's wrong with what you're doing. You're reading
- 25 | from a document that's not in evidence.

THE COURT: I have allowed you to approach to present the document. I'm not allowing you to hover over the podium to badger the witness. Now go back to the podium. And if you didn't make multiple copies before you came to court, I can't help you.

This witness first took the stand, my recollection — tell me when it was. Thursday, is that correct? Did this witness take the stand on Thursday or did she start on Monday?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I think it was Monday, your Honor.

MS. LEIDHOLDT: Thursday, your Honor.

THE COURT: She started on Thursday. That's what I thought. If you didn't bring a copy, so that you have to share a copy, that's your problem, Mr. Arenstein. We're on day six. Let's go.

- Q. Ms. Lee, there is an amount on there of that mutual fund.
- 16 Do you see it on the document?
- 17 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 18 Q. The amount that's on that document is a mutual fund, is it 19 not?
- A. This is an assurance mutual fund. This is bought by my
 pension funds, so I cannot cash in for this. If I cash in, the
 funds will go back to my pension fund. There's no such thing
 as giving me outright cash.
- 24 | Q. Are you allowed to borrow on that?
- 25 A. No. It's under the central provision board of Singapore,

- 1 | which is a pension fund organization in Singapore.
- 2 MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. That's not
- 3 responsive to my question.
- THE COURT: I think the witness is being quite responsive. Ask your next question.
- 6 Q. Why didn't you use that fund to pay your lawyers?
- 7 THE WITNESS: She has already explained. Next 8 question.
- 9 Q. Did you have a business called Intoxicaked?
- 10 A. It's not a business. It's just an online. I didn't
- 11 register the business, so I would not call it a business.
- 12 | Q. What is that business, can you tell the Court?
- 13 A. It is just my passion, my hobby to bake cakes. I was just
- 14 | setting up a website and selling it.
- 15 | Q. You sold the cakes?
- 16 A. Yes, mostly my friends.
- 17 | O. Your friends?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. The attorney who represented you in this case, was he one
- 20 | of your friends in the Intoxicaked that you sold cakes to?
- 21 A. No, I didn't sell him any cakes.
- 22 | Q. Did you have him listed as one of your friends on Facebook?
- 23 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, sustained. Am I correct, Ms. Lee,
- 25 | it's Intoxicake, C-A-K-E, at the end of that word?

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.
- 3 | Q. How much money did you make from selling the cakes?
- 4 A. Per month or per week?
- $5 \parallel Q$. Either way.
- A. I probably sell maybe two or three cakes a month. Each
 cake is like -- it's just a hobby. I probably get like 10 to
- 8 | \$20, that's it.
- 9 Q. Were you informed by the police that you had the option of pursuing your case with a criminal case in magistrate's court?
- 11 | A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
- 12 | Q. Were you informed by the police when you made your police
- 13 reports that you had the option of pursuing your case with a
- 14 | criminal case in magistrate's court or making an application
- 15 | for an expedited PPO in family court?
- 16 A. They told me that if I wanted a personal protection order,
- 17 | I have to go to the family court to obtain one.
- 18 THE COURT: That's not the question you were asked.
- 19 Q. Do you want me to rephrase it or answer it again? Could 20 you answer the question, ma'am?
- 21 THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
- 22 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
- THE COURT: Mr. Arenstein is asking whether you were
- 24 \parallel informed that you either could seek a PPO in family court.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

to pursue this matter further?

- Which one again? Sorry.
- 18 The police report of May 31, 2008.
- 19 I don't have a copy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

2.3

24

25

20 THE COURT: What is the exhibit number, sir?

MR. ARENSTEIN: It's R1 and R2.

THE COURT: In evidence?

MR. ARENSTEIN: In evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ARENSTEIN: I'll go on until they find it.

692 Cases 1:12-cv-07797-PKC Document 48 c Filed 01/28/13 Page 109 of 140 1 May I approach, your Honor? May I approach the 2 witness? 3 THE COURT: Do you recall what I told you before? Did you not make a statement -- can you read the last line. 4 5 THE COURT: Go back to the podium. One of the 6 advantages of cameras in the courtroom would be that a 7 reviewing court could see what's going on in my courtroom right 8 now, which is pretty inexcusable in the first 15 minutes of cross-examination. Counsel is showing the witness an exhibit 9 10 and then walking away, muttering, "No, that's not it." the most disorganized presentation. I've seen better 11 12 presentations from pro ses. I really urge you, sir. This witness took the stand 13 14 on Thursday. You had to have known that you were going to 15 commence a cross-examination. I'm going to take a recess, and 16 I expect you to get your exhibits in order and be prepared to 17 conduct a cross-examination or to sit down. 18 MR. ARENSTEIN: OK. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: I take it you're not offering M or N into 20 evidence, sir, is that correct?

MR. ARENSTEIN: I am definitely.

THE COURT: You haven't offered them as far as I know.

(Recess)

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. ARENSTEIN: May I approach?

- THE COURT: What did I say before about approaching?
- 2 MR. ARENSTEIN: You told me.
- 3 | THE COURT: I told you what?
- 4 MR. ARENSTEIN: Unless you revoke it, permission is 5 granted.
- 6 THE COURT: OK.
- 7 | Q. I show you this document which is in evidence, R1, and also
- 8 | R7. I ask if you could read the last sentence of that
- 9 document.
- 10 A. "I am lodging this report for my lawyer's action."
- 11 | Q. Thank you. Ms. Lee, you lodged this report for your
- 12 | lawyer's action?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Why did you state that to the police?
- 15 A. Because the police told me to put it in.
- 16 Q. The police told you --
- 17 A. They say, do you want to take action? If you want to take
- 18 | action, then you can put it in.
- 19 | Q. Why did you say that you are "lodging this report for my
- 20 | lawyer's action"?
- 21 A. At the time I didn't have any lawyer.
- 22 \parallel Q. Why did you say that you were doing it for your lawyer's
- 23 | action?
- 24 A. In case I want to file a personal protection order, then I
- 25 \parallel may require a lawyer; so therefore it was put into the report.

- 1 | Q. Why didn't you, after you made these reports, give it to a
- 2 | lawyer?
- 3 A. I was considering it, and I decided not to at that time,
- 4 | because I was pregnant, I was going to have a baby, and I
- 5 wanted to give the marriage a second chance.
- 6 | Q. I draw your attention to R16, your police report, in
- 7 | evidence. That occurred on August 15, 2011?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I ask you if this is a picture of you on that day.
- 10 THE COURT: Could you identify what you are showing
- 11 | the witness.
- 12 A. This is Petitioner's Exhibits E1 and E2 in evidence.
- 13 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 | Q. I ask you if these are pictures of you on the day that you
- 15 | filed that police report.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Do you see any marks or scratches on your chest in those
- 18 | pictures?
- 19 A. It's not very clear.
- 20 | Q. It's not very clear? At least the one that I was looking
- 21 | at over here, I don't see any scratches. Can you show me
- 22 | whether there are any scratches on this picture?
- 23 | THE COURT: Didn't you ask that question?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Yes, and she said it wasn't very
- 25 | clear.

- 1 THE COURT: Now you're asking the same question again.
- 2 | Sustained. Next question. The photograph is in evidence, sir.
- 3 Let's go.
- 4 | Q. Where did you go after this picture was taken?
- 5 A. Where did I go?
- 6 0. Yes.
- 7 A. I went back to my car and I drove off.
- 8 | Q. What happened between the time you were in the parking lot
- 9 and when you made this report that you sustained scratches that
- 10 | you complained of?
- 11 | A. You mean in between when I got into my car to make a police
- 12 | report? I'm sorry, I don't understand your question.
- 13 | Q. You claim that you had scratches, but you really didn't
- 14 | have any scratches at that incident, did you?
- MR. McNALLY: Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 17 | A. I had scratches.
- 18 | Q. Why aren't they on the picture?
- 19 A. How would I know whether you Photoshop this picture or not?
- 20 | Q. Isn't it possible those scratches were made after you left
- 21 | the scene?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. But you have no proof that the scratches were made on the
- 24 | scene, do you?
- 25 THE COURT: You testified that that's what happened,

How many times did you apply for an emergency PPO?

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

Twice.

Twice.

Pardon me?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did you ask for a protective order for the child?
- 3 A. For the second PPO, yes.
- 4 | Q. Did you get it?
- 5 | A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Why not?
- 7 A. Because the magistrate told me that it was not a direct
- 8 abuse to the child.
- 9 Q. What did you have to prove to get a PPO?
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 | Q. How long did these expedited PPOs last for?
- 12 THE COURT: If you know.
- 13 | Q. If you know.
- 14 \parallel A. It's really from the day I applied to the hearing date.
- 15 | Q. Didn't they last from the date of your application until
- 16 | the final determination in court?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So the first PPO lasted for ten days?
- 19 A. The first one lasted to the first hearing. And if there's
- 20 | a next one, then they will do an extension.
- 21 | Q. You withdrew the first PPO?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. The second PPO you got on November 22nd?
- 24 A. Not on November 22nd.
- $25 \parallel Q$. Pardon me?

- 1 A. Probably a week or ten days later.
- 2 | Q. How long did that last?
- 3 A. They keep extending it to the end of the trial.
- 4 THE COURT: That was sometime in February?
- 5 THE WITNESS: March. February, March.
- 6 0. That case was dismissed?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. You were fined, were you not?
- 9 A. I was not fined. I was asked to pay.
- 10 | Q. Were there costs that you were ordered to pay?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Did you pay those costs?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. You didn't pay them?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 | Q. Were you unhappy with the outcome in family court when your
- 17 | second PPO was dismissed?
- 18 A. I was disappointed.
- 19 | Q. Did you complain to anyone about this outcome?
- 20 A. I spoke to my sister.
- 21 | Q. Your sister. Anybody else?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Did you call your attorney and ask him what to do?
- 24 | A. No, I didn't.
- 25 \parallel Q. Did you try to appeal this decision?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. You are aware of the options open to you to seek and find
- 3 | protection with the authorities in Singapore, are you not?
- 4 A. Seek and find?
- 5 | 0. I didn't hear the answer.
- 6 | A. I didn't --
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 8 | Q. Do you think that you will avail yourself of these options
- 9 | in the event you need protection from Mr. Souratgar?
- 10 A. I am sorry. Can you speak slower? I cannot catch.
- 11 | Q. Do you think you will avail yourself of these options in
- 12 | the event that you feel you need protection from Mr. Souratgar?
- 13 A. I will? I'm sorry. I really didn't catch "I will from Mr.
- 14 | Souratgar, " I didn't catch that word.
- 15 | Q. Do you think you will avail yourself of these options, of
- 16 | all of these options in the event you feel you need protection
- 17 | from Mr. Souratgar?
- 18 MR. McNALLY: Objection, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 | Q. Did you ever see Mr. Souratgar abuse Shayan?
- 21 | A. Physically?
- 22 | Q. Physically, yes.
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Did you ever report any incident of any abuse to the police
- 25 ∥ of Shayan?

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 Q. Did you ever make any statement in any affidavit in court
- 3 | in Singapore about Mr. Souratgar abusing Shayan?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 | Q. Do you own a car seat for Shayan?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What kind of a car seat is it?
- 8 A. What do you mean?
- 9 | Q. When did you buy it?
- 10 A. I bought it when Shayan was born.
- 11 | Q. Where?
- 12 A. When Shayan was born.
- 13 | Q. What is the brand name of that car seat, ma'am?
- 14 A. If I'm not wrong, it's Maxi Cozi or something like that.
- 15 Q. Where did you buy it?
- 16 A. Singapore.
- 17 | Q. Where? What store?
- 18 A. It's Baby Land.
- 19 | Q. In Baby Land. Where is that located, ma'am?
- 20 | A. Somewhere in the east side of Singapore. Kaki Bukit.
- 21 THE COURT: Pause.
- 22 THE WITNESS: The east side.
- 23 | THE COURT: Give it to the court reporter, the name,
- 24 again.
- 25 | THE WITNESS: The address I bought it from, the

- 1 | location is at the east side of Singapore, in K-A-K-I,
- $2 \parallel B-U-K-I-T$.
- 3 | THE COURT: Thank you. Next question.
- 4 Q. You have used this every time you have taken Shayan out in
- 5 | a car?
- 6 A. Of course.
- 7 | Q. Where is this car seat now?
- 8 A. In Singapore.
 - Q. Where?

- 10 A. I sent it back to my parents' home.
- 11 | Q. Are you aware of how a person is eligible for a Singapore
- 12 permanent residency?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Have you looked at the Singapore government website to
- 15 | access this information?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You have. Are you aware that it states, "Eligibility. The
- 18 | following categories of foreigners are eligible to apply for
- 19 | permanent residence?" Are you aware of the categories of the
- 20 | people that are able to apply for permanent residency in
- 21 | Singapore?
- 22 A. The last I saw it is when I was applying for it. If you
- 23 | are talking about if they have changed the website, I don't
- 24 know.
- 25 \parallel Q. When was the last time you looked at the website?

- 1 A. Many years back.
- 2 | Q. Many years back?
- 3 \parallel A. Yes, when Shayan was applying for one under my --
- 4 | Q. So you're not so sure, are you, that you could not now
- 5 | apply for a permanent residency in Singapore, is that correct?
- 6 | A. Now?
- 7 | Q. Yes.
- 8 A. Mine is on a renewal basis, so it is not a new application.
- 9 | Q. Have you looked at the website to see what the eligibility
- 10 | is at this time?
- 11 | A. No, no.
- 12 | Q. So you don't know whether you might be eligible now to
- 13 | apply for Singapore permanent residency, is that correct?
- 14 \parallel A. Why am I apply? I already have one.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Your Honor, could you ask the witness
- 16 | to answer the question yes or no?
- 17 | THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. He is asking whether I applying
- 19 | for one. But I already have one.
- 20 | THE COURT: You already have one, right?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 | THE COURT: And you have it today, so there would be
- 23 | no reason for you to apply today?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, correct.
- 25 THE COURT: I think what he is trying to get at is

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection as to that. She already told you she didn't look at it, and she hasn't done any other investigation. What more do you need, sir? What are you trying to establish?

23

24

25

MR. ARENSTEIN: I was trying to establish that her

22 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 | Q. Then you worked other jobs swell in Singapore, correct?
- 24 | A. Correct.
 - Q. All of those aided you in getting permanent residency in

- 1 | Singapore, is that correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. Did you ever intend to return to Singapore when you left
- 4 | with Shayan on May 20, 2012?
- 5 A. At first, yes.
- 6 | Q. When did you intend to return?
- 7 A. I intended to return somewhere in September.
- 8 | O. When?
- 9 A. September.
- 10 | Q. September what?
- 11 | A. I didn't fix a date, but September this year, 2012.
- 12 | Q. You were going to return on September 2012?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you tell your husband that you were going to return
- 15 | then?
- 16 A. I have no communication with him.
- 17 | Q. Who knew that you were going to return?
- 18 A. Nobody knew. Myself.
- 19 Q. Nobody. Are you an Iranian citizen?
- 20 A. As far as I know, I'm not.
- 21 | Q. You're not?
- 22 | A. I'm not. I do not acknowledge. But if he applies on my
- 23 | behalf --
- 24 | Q. Do you have an Iranian passport?
- 25 \parallel A. I'm not aware of it.

- 1 | Q. Are you aware that there are many Iranian citizens who have
- 2 | become Singapore SPRs?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. How often do you have to renew your Singapore permanent
- 5 | residence?
- 6 A. Every five years.
- 7 | Q. When do you have to renew it next?
- 8 A. 2015.
- 9 Q. 2015. Is Shayan a Singapore permanent resident?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. When does his permanent residency have to be renewed?
- 12 A. 2014.
- 13 | Q. Are you aware that Mr. Souratgar's first wife did not
- 14 drown, as he told you, but is very much alive and well and
- 15 | living in Australia?
- 16 MR. McNALLY: Objection. Mischaracterizes.
- 17 | Withdrawn.
- 18 | Q. Are you aware that Mr. Souratgar's first wife did not
- 19 drown, as you say he told you, but is very much alive and
- 20 | living in Australia and she is still in touch with Mr.
- 21 | Souratgar?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. You're not aware of that? Did you tell your mother that
- 24 | Majid kicked you in the stomach?
- 25 A. I don't remember.

- 1 | Q. You don't remember. Earlier in your testimony you have
- 2 | said you were fighting over the remote. Was there only one TV
- 3 | in the house?
- 4 A. There were two TV. One was upstairs. But the one was not
- 5 connected to any cables.
- 6 | Q. Did you report these incidents to the police?
- 7 A. This incident?
- 8 0. Yes.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. You say your husband raped you in front of the child. Did
- 11 | you mention any of these allegations in your affidavit or any
- 12 | reports, police reports?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. You have stated that Mr. Souratgar would take Shayan to
- 15 | Iran. Did he ever take him to Iran without your consent?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. How many times did you take Shayan to an unknown location
- 18 | without Mr. Souratgar's knowledge or consent?
- 19 A. To an unknown location, is that your question?
- 20 | Q. In other words, you and Mr. Souratgar took Shayan to
- 21 | places, he took Shayan to places that you knew about. How many
- 22 | times did you take Shayan to places that he didn't know about?
- 23 A. To places that he didn't know about?
- 24 | Q. Yes. How many times did you take Shayan to places that you
- 25 | did not inform your husband where Shayan was?

- 1 | A. Once.
- 2 | Q. Just once?
- $3 \parallel A$. Yes, this time.
- 4 Q. There were no other times that you took Shayan to other
- 5 places where you didn't inform your husband?
- 6 A. I was not required to.
- 7 Q. That was not the question. The question was, how many
- 8 | times did you not inform your husband where you took Shayan to
- 9 places where he did not know where Shayan was?
- 10 MR. McNALLY: Objection.
- 11 | THE COURT: Sustained, because the answer to the
- 12 | question would be meaningless. If the witness said 500 times,
- 13 we wouldn't know whether those are trips to the supermarket,
- 14 down the street, what-have-you. The answer would be
- 15 meaningless. The objection is sustained. Next question.
- 16 | Q. From May 2008, when you were pregnant, did you tell your
- 17 | mother that Mr. Souratgar was leaving you?
- 18 A. After the incident or before the incident?
- 19 | Q. At the end of May of 2008, when you were pregnant, did you
- 20 \parallel tell your mother that Mr. Souratgar was leaving you?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Did you tell your mother he kicked you in the stomach?
- 23 | A. I don't remember.
- MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 | Q. Isn't it a fact that you quit your job, that you had a
- 2 | business of real estate investments? Did you quit your job
- 3 | that had real estate investments?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you quit your job because you didn't get along with
- 6 your boss?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. You testified that you never had an Iranian passport, is
- 9 | that correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did you inform Mr. Souratgar when you left for Malaysia?
- 12 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 | A. You mean I went to Malaysia when?
- 15 | Q. Did you inform Mr. Souratgar when you left for Malaysia --
- 16 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection. Time. It's unclear what
- 17 | time Mr. Arenstein is --
- 18 THE COURT: Rephrase the question.
- 19 | Q. How many times did you go to Malaysia?
- 20 | A. When? I went many times.
- 21 | Q. When you went with Shayan the last time you went to
- 22 | Malaysia, did you inform Mr. Souratgar that you were going to
- 23 | Malaysia? In or around July 2011, did you inform Mr. Souratgar
- 24 | that you were going to Malaysia?
- 25 | A. I did not go to Malaysia in July.

- 1 | Q. When did you go to Malaysia around that time?
- 2 A. I went in June.
- 3 | Q. In June. Did you inform Mr. Souratgar that you were going
- 4 | to Malaysia?
- 5 | A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Why not?
- 7 A. Why? I was not obligated to inform him of my movements.
- 8 0. You didn't tell him --
- 9 THE COURT: Next question.
- 10 | Q. You took Shayan with you to Malaysia, did you not?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You didn't inform Mr. Souratgar that you took Shayan with
- 13 | you, did you?
- 14 | A. Yes, I didn't inform him.
- 15 | Q. You did not inform him?
- 16 A. I did not.
- 17 Q. That's why you brought the action in Malaysia, so he could
- 18 see his child there, is that correct?
- 19 MR. McNALLY: Objection.
- 20 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 Mr. Arenstein, are you finished with your cross?
- 22 MR. ARENSTEIN: No.
- 23 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 24 \parallel Q. When did say that you first saw that you had an Iranian
- 25 | passport?

- 1 | A. I saw?
- THE COURT: I didn't understand the question, either.
- 3 Q. When did you say that you first saw that you had an Iranian
- 4 passport?
- A. When you all sent me to the court here. It was the first
- 6 | time I seen the passport.
- $7 \parallel Q$. The first time you saw the passport.
- 8 A. A photocopy of the passport, sorry.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.
- 10 A. A copy of the passport, not the original one.
- 11 | Q. When you were in Malaysia, did you apply for an Iranian
- 12 passport?
- 13 | A. No, I didn't.
- 14 | Q. Was an Iranian passport applied for on your behalf?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- MR. ARENSTEIN: One second, your Honor.
- 17 | THE COURT: I'm going to have to request that you
- 18 refrain from these extended conferences with co-counsel during
- 19 | the cross-examination after many of your questions. Put your
- 20 question to the witness, please.
- 21 | Q. You testified earlier that you didn't take anything other
- 22 | than three items out of the marital home, is that correct?
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. I show you --
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Can I have the picture of the house.

THE COURT: Mr. Arenstein, I'm going to have to ask
that you get yourself organized. The record is not reflecting
the long pauses, the consultations with counsel. This is
exactly why I took a break before, so you could get yourself
organized. Now in a stage whisper you're asking your
colleagues for copies of various things. Please get on with
your cross-examination.

- Q. I show you Petitioner's Exhibit X and ask you to take a look at this document. Is this a letter, the second part of it, is this the law firm that represents you?
- 11 | A. Yes.

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- 12 | Q. Is this a letter signed by your lawyer? Is that your 13 | lawyer's signature?
- 14 | A. Correct.
 - MR. McNALLY: Your Honor, it is unclear which pages he is referring to. This is composed of a number of documents.
 - MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm referring to the second document in Exhibit X, which is a letter from Straits's law firm, which is signed by a Mr. Ahmad Nizam Abbas?

THE COURT: I have as the second document under Plaintiff's Exhibit X, Mr. Arenstein, a letter dated 19 July 2011 from Winifred Gomez.

MR. ARENSTEIN: The letter that I'm referring to, your Honor, is dated July 20, 2011, to Ms. Winifred Gomez from Mr. Ahmad Nizam Abbas.

1 THE COURT: The record was going to make this clear 2 from the question you asked: Is this from your lawyer? 3 Is this document, which dated July 28th --4 THE COURT: No. I asked you a question. How is that 5 supposed to be apparent from the way you proceeded, sir? MR. ARENSTEIN: I did it incorrectly. I apologize. 6 7 I'm trying to do it properly now. 8 THE COURT: You're referring to the last page of Petitioner's Exhibit X? Is that what you are referring to? 9 10 MR. ARENSTEIN: I'm referring to not the last page, 11 your Honor. The first letter is a letter from Mrs. Gomez to the Straits law firm. The second letter is a letter from Mrs. 12 13 Gomez to the Straits law firm dated July 19th. The third is a 14 letter from the Straits law firm dated July 20, 2011, to Mrs. Gomez from Ahmad Nizam Abbas. That's the letter I'm referring 15 16 to. 17 THE COURT: What's your question? 18 Is this letter from your lawyer to Mrs. Gomez? 19 Yes. Α. 20 I ask you if this letter --21

MR. ARENSTEIN: I ask that this letter be introduced into evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: As what?

22

2.3

24

25

MR. ARENSTEIN: As Petitioner's Exhibit X.

THE COURT: Petitioner's Exhibit X, as presented to me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT:

client has personally shown her but has always been in his possession." Are you familiar with that statement? Your lawyer made that statement, isn't that right?

Stop. You asked a question. Do you want

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

2.3

24

25

17 18

19 20 itself.

THE COURT: It does.

MR. ARENSTEIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that we are sitting here in silence as Mr. Arenstein is marking exhibits which could have been premarked before this hearing and before the

stay in.

1 THE COURT: All right.

- 2 Mr. Arenstein, I will give you the privilege of asking 3 the next question.
- 4 BY MR. ARENSTEIN:
- 5 | Q. These are the pictures of the condition of your house at
- 6 | the time after you left. Is that correct?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Is this the way you left the house, ma'am?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Did you take anything out of this room on Y3?
- 11 A. Yes, I said I took the big mattress, which I did.
- 12 | Q. Is there anything else you took out of there that was in
- 13 | this apartment before you left?
- 14 \parallel A. No. From this room is only the bed.
- 15 | Q. Pardon me?
- 16 A. From this, this is the master bedroom. This is the
- 17 | kitchen. This is the maid's room.
- 18 | Q. What room is that?
- 19 | A. This is --
- 20 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection, your Honor.
- 21 A. -- one of the rooms upstairs.
- MS. LEIDHOLDT: Mr. Arenstein is asking the witness
- 23 | about photographs, the contents of photographs that are not yet
- 24 | in evidence.
- 25 THE COURT: That's correct, and he is trying to lay a

10 11

BY MR. ARENSTEIN: 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13 Q. You testified you recognize these pictures as the 14 apartment, is that correct, the apartment you lived in with 15 your husband. Is that correct?

16 MS. LEIDHOLDT: Objection.

- 17 THE COURT: Sustained. You may return to the podium, 18 sir.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 BY MR. ARENSTEIN:
- 21 What was the condition of the apartment on May 25th, 2011?
- 22 Most certainly not what the picture showed. Α.
- 23 Q. I am sorry, I didn't hear you?
- 24 Not similar to what the photograph.
- 25 Similar to what --

- 1 A. No, it is not.
- 2 | Q. On November 22nd, what were you doing while you were
- 3 driving November 22, 2010?
- 4 A. What was I doing when I was driving?
- 5 | O. Yes.
- 6 A. I was driving.
- 7 | Q. Were you breastfeeding the child Shayan?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 | Q. You weren't breastfeeding the child?
- 10 A. How does one breastfeed and drive?
- MR. ARENSTEIN: Objection to the response. I move to
- 12 strike. Not responsive.
- 13 | THE COURT: Overruled and denied.
- 14 BY MR. ARENSTEIN:
- 15 | Q. When you were going to the family center to report the
- 16 | actions that you stated Mr. Souratgar did to you, was there a
- 17 police station right next to the family center?
- 18 A. At the time I didn't know there was one.
- 19 | Q. At the time you didn't know there was one?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. When did you find out there was a police station there?
- 22 | A. Much later.
- 23 \parallel Q. So you had no idea there was a police station on the way to
- 24 | the Family Harmony?
- 25 MR. McNALLY: Objection; asked and answered.

up tomorrow morning then at -- let me double-check -- how long

do you think your cross-examination will be?

24